

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/928,861	09/12/1997		IGOR NEYMAN	P3251	1146
24739	7590	06/24/2002			
	COAST I	PATENT AGEN	EXAMINER		
	PO BOX 187 AROMAS, CA 95004			HOOSAIN, ALLAN	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2645	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m

•		m				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	08/928,861	NEYMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allan Hoosain	2645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day- ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	lugust 2000 .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2-10 and 12-18 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-10 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	annici.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	and and the condens OF LLO O. S. 440/a	) (d) (6)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a	1)-(a) or (t).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	a boyo boon received					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ul>	• •					
application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2645

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the call center" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 2-10 and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rogers et al. (US 5,946,386).

Art Unit: 2645

As to Claim 2, with respect to Figures 1 and 5, **Rogers** teaches a method for routing Internet Protocol Network Telephony (IPNT) calls at customer premises having a managing processor and a computer workstation coupled to the managing processor, the managing processor storing a current set of routing rules specific to and accessible and editable by a person assigned to the computer workstation, the method comprising steps of:

- (a) receiving an IPNT call at the managing processor (Col. 7, lines 39-43);
- (b) determining the person assigned to the workstation is an intended recipient for the call (Col. 11, lines 20-43);
- (c) requesting routing by the managing processor from the specific set of current routing rules for the workstation, accessible and editable by the person assigned to the computer workstation (Col. 12, lines 1-6 and Col. 36, lines 33-45); and
- (d) routing the call according to the current routing rules specific to the person (Col. 36, lines 33-45 and Col. 37, lines 1-51).

As to Claims 3,17, **Rogers** teaches the method of claim 2 wherein the editable routing rules specific to the person are maintained at the computer workstation (Col. 36, lines 46-51).

As to Claims 4,14, **Rogers** teaches the method of claim 2 wherein the editable routing rules for the intended recipient are maintained on a central client-server router executed on a processor (Col. 36, lines 24-28 and Col. 9, lines 1-11).

Art Unit: 2645

As to Claims 5,15, Rogers teaches the method of claim 4 wherein the processor is the managing processor for the call center (Col. 36, lines 24-28).

As to Claims 6,12,16, Rogers teaches the method of claim 4 wherein the processor executing the client-server router is a processor separate from the managing processor (Figure 1, labels 101 and 110).

As to Claim 7, Rogers teaches the method of claim 2 comprising a step executed by the person for editing the routing rules via an interactive Graphical User Interface (GUI) executed on the intended recipient's computer workstation (Col. 36, lines 18-24).

As to Claim 8, Rogers teaches the method of claim 4 wherein there are multiple workstations coupled to the managing processor, and the client-server router has router-rule portions dedicated to individual ones of users (agents) at individual ones of the couputer workstations, and wherein an individual user (agent), through a user interface executing on a computer workstation to which the user (agent) is assigned, may access the portion dedicated to that user (agent), and edit the routing rules therein (Col. 36, lines 24-32).

As to Claim 9, Rogers teaches the method of claim 8 wherein the user interface comprises a graphical user interface (GUI) having icons indicating telephone calls received and for choices of disposition of telephone calls received, and including steps for a user (an agent) to precipitate actions in call routing by iconic drag-and-drop procedures (Figures 6a and 6b).

Art Unit: 2645

As to Claim 10, with respect to Figures 1-2 and 5, Rogers teaches in a customer premises

Internet Protocol Network Telephony organization (call center) having a managing processor
including sets of routing rules specific to individual users (agents) assigned to workstations, the
managing processor for routing received calls to individual ones of the connected users (agents)
at computer workstations, a method for individual customization of routing rules for the received
calls, comprising steps of:

- (a) executing a client user interface on one of the computer workstations by a user (an agent) at the station (Col. 36, lines 23-32);
- (b) determining routing for the received calls addressed to the computer workstation at the computer workstation by the user (agent) at the computer workstation using the client user interface to access and edit personal routing rules (Col. 33-45);
- (c) transmitting the routing determination to a router executing on the managing processor (Col. 36, lines 19-32); and
- (d) routing the received telephone calls by the router according to the transmitted routing determination (Col. 36, lines 33-45 and Col. 37, lines 1-51).

As to Claim 13, with respect to Figures 1 and 5, **Rogers** teaches a call router system for determining routing of incoming Internet Protocol Network Telephony calls in a customer premises organization (call center) including a managing processor connected to individual computer workstations, the managing processor having sets of routing rules specific to individual agents, the router system comprising:

Art Unit: 2645

a client user interface executable on one of the computer workstations, and adapted to provide functions for editing routing rules for individual users (agents) (Col. 36, lines 46-51); and

Page 6

a router listing current routing rules specific to the user (agent) at the workstation (Col. 36, lines 23-27 and 46-52);

wherein the client user interface is adapted to transmit user (agent)-edited routing rules to the router, and the router is adapted to provide routing to incoming calls addressed to the user (agent) according to the current routing rules (Col. 36, lines 33-45 and Col. 37, lines 1-51).

As to Claim 18, **Rogers** teaches the organization (call router) system of claim 14 wherein routing rules for connected user's (agent's) computer workstations are maintained separately on the processor that executes the router, and wherein routing is accessed from the routing rules according to destination information for received calls (Figure 1, labels 110, 101 and Col. 36, lines 33-45).

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-10 and 12-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2645

Pepe et al. (US 5,742,905) teach a PCI server that stores routing rules for subscribers.

Miner et al. (US 5,652,789) teach a personal manager for storing routing rules for subscribers.

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 306-0377 (for customer service assistance)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Allan Hoosain** whose telephone number is (703) 305-4012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Fan Tsang**, can be reached on (703) 305-4895.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Allan Hoosain
Primary Examiner
6/19/02